Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/806,479	VANE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ana M. Fortuna	1723
All Participants:	Status of Applicatio	n:
(1) <u>Ana M. Fortuna</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Glenna Hendricks</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 3 March 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appli Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None.		
Claims discussed: none.		
Prior art documents discussed: none.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summ. 	the examiner will provide a record of the substance	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
		···
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	nt/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/806,479

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Glena Hendricks was questioned about the statement in the request for extension of time of 2/22/06, the extension was for the purpose of filing a continuation application. Mrs. Hendricks confirmed that the application has been refiled, and the rejection willnot be responded..